**Evaluation grid**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Items** | **Maximum score** |
| 1) Relevance to the purpose and criteria | 15 |
| 2) Potential of the action to bring the Dialogue closer to the citizens and provide tangible impact on improving their lives | 15 |
| 3) Do no harm or entail any potential negative effects on the Dialogue process as such as a result of project activities | 10 |
| 4) Targets both information and interaction among individuals and communities, including women and youth, and promotes equal opportunities | 15 |
| 5) Need for innovation both in terms of proposed activities and composition of the Consortium; | 5 |
| 6) Feasibility of the proposal from a project cycle management approach, including the theory of change, logframe approach and logical framework matrix | 10 |
| 7) Measurable and realistic short and medium term outcomes and output (objectively verifiable indicators) | 10 |
| 8) Demonstrated sustainability of the proposed activities | 5 |
| 9) Cost-efficiency and sound budgeting of resources | 10 |
| 10) Co-financing and/or in-kind contribution by the applicant are encouraged | 5 |
| **Maximum total score** | **100** |