

EUROPEAN UNIONDELEGATION TO THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA

ARES (2.43) 3186857
Belgrade, 04.10.2013

CONTRACTING AUTHORITY'S CLARIFICATIONS No. 4

Improvement of air quality through reduction in dust emissions from thermal power plants Nikola Tesla, Unit A3 and Morava Republic of Serbia

Publication reference EuropeAid/134187/C/WKS/RS

No	Question	Answer
1	Vol. 1 Sec. 3 Tender Guarantee validity / Tender Guarantee 2 form / page 2 Tender Guarantees for Lot 1 and Lot 2 should be valid until 31 st October 2014. (1 year after the deadline for submitting tenders)-Please confirm.	Tender Guarantees for Lot 1 and Lot 2 should follow the validity requirements in article 15.3 of the Instructions to Tenderers (ITT) and the instructions in the template published together with the Tender Dossier (Volume I, Section 3).
2	Vol. 1 Sec. 1 Economic and financial capacity of candidate Instructions to tenderers / Financial recourses/the access to sufficient credit and other financial facilities / page 14 In case of a Consortium participating in a tender, is a Letter of Intent proving that a Leader of Consortium has an access to a credit line and other financial facilities for Lot 1 in amount of EUR 2.5 million and EUR 1.3 million for Lot 2. valid for the duration of Contract, adequate proof?	A letter from a recognized bank issued in line with the requirements in ITT, article 12.2.A)b), i.e. showing availability specifically for the tendered contract of a "credit of at least EUR 2.5 million for the tenderer bidding for Lot 1, at least EUR 1.3 million for the tenderer bidding for Lot 2, and at least EUR 3.8 million for the tenderer bidding for both lots", may be considered appropriate to "demonstrate financial resources / the access to sufficient credit and other financial facilities to cover the required cash flow for the duration of the contract".
3	Vol. 2 Sec. 1 Contract Agreement / Contract form/ page 4 With reference to the wording that "VAT and other taxes shall not be paid on funds originating from EU funds", in case of a Consortium signing a Contract, does the exemption of payment of the VAT and other taxes refer to all members of Consortium, not only to the leader of Consortium? Will the Subcontractors on this Contract be exempted from the payment of the VAT and other taxes? Please clarify.	Please refer to the provisions of articles 14.1 and 21.2 of the Particular Conditions of contract (volume II, section 3).
4	Vol. 2 Sec. 4	The Parent Company guarantee should be issued by the parent company of any member of

No	Question	Answer
	Parent Company Guarantee / Form of Parent Company Guarantee In case of a Consortium participating in a tender, should a Parent Company guarantee be issued by a Leader of consortium?	the consortium.
5	Volume 3: Employer's requirements	Sulphur total content is 0,6%
	Section 2: Particular design and process requirements Please complete mentioned parameters: For TENT A3: Sulphur content in coal, O2 content in flue gas, H2O content in flue gas Pressure in front of ESP For TPP Morava: O2 content in flue gas, H2O content in flue gas, Pressure in front of ESP	The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit A3 is not jeopardized. The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit TEM is not jeopardized.
6	Vol.3: Employers requirements 2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics Inform about actual raw gas O2-concentration in worst case operation in order to design clean gas concentration at standard conditions at 6% O2	The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit A3 is not jeopardized.
7	Vol.3: Employers requirements 2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics Inform about actual raw gas H2O-concentration in worst case operation in order to design clean gas concentration at standard conditions at 6% O2	The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit A3 is not jeopardized.
8	Vol.3: Employers requirements	No, it does not include soot blowing.
	2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics	
	Inform if actual raw gas dust-concentration max	

No	Question	Answer
	57 g/Nm³ at actual O2-concentration includes soot blowing in order to design clean gas concentration at standard conditions at 6% O2	
9	Vol.3: Employers requirements 2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics Inform if 30 mg/Nm³ shall also be achieved at outage of 1 HV transformer (n-1 operation)	In the case of outage of 1 (one) HV transformer (n-1 operation) it is not required to achieve 30mg/Nm³, however dust shall not exceed 60mg/Nm³. However contractor is obliged to secure operation of all installed TR sets
10	Vol.3: Employers requirements 2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics Inform if actual raw gas dust-concentration max 64 g/Nm³ at 6 Vol% O2-concentration includes soot blowing in order to design clean gas concentration at standard conditions at 6% O2	No, it does not include soot blowing.
11	Vol.3: Employers requirements 2.5.3 Flue gas characteristics Inform if 50 mg/Nm³ shall also be achieved at outage of 1 HV transformer (n-1 operation)	In the case of outage of 1 (one) HV transformer (n-1 operation) it is not required to achieve 50mg/Nm³, however dust shall not exceed 100mg/Nm³. However contractor is obliged to secure operation of all installed TR sets
12	According to Clarifications No. 3; Question No. 3; 2) You declare the Flue Gas Volume in Table 2.6 is shown for one ESP. The Flue Gas Volume in Section 2.5.3 per boiler. According to our calculation and using the provided drawings it cannot be right. The ESP should be designed twice as large as shown. Please check this position again and confirm the Flue Gas Volume in Table 2.6 is accepted as the Total Flue Gas Volume for one boiler.	In accordance with the Basic design, the design data are: Flue gas temperature
13	Another indispensable technical parameter for ESP-sizing is the gas pressure entering ESP. Please let us know the inlet pressure at the inlet of the ESP for both locations	The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit A3 is not jeopardized.
14	We request from you following clarifications: 1) Coal and Flue gas analysis a. Sulphur content in the coal	1)a. Sulphur total content is 0,6% 1)b.&c. The Beneficiary does not possess the full gas analysis of the Flue Gas entering ESP. The awarded Tenderer will have the possibility

No	Question	Answer
	 b. Operation O2 content c. H2O content in the flue gas 2) Following positions are listened as well in 2.8.2/2.8.3 Scope of works as in 2.8.5 Works to be performed by EPS: 	after the signature of the contract to perform the necessary investigation and measurements at the site in order to collect additional data considered by them as necessary for the design. Mandatory condition for such action will be that the operation of the Unit A3 is not jeopardized.
	Chapter 2.8; 2.8.2; 5.; 5.6 New flue gas channels with dampers and electrical actuators where is necessary 2.8; 2.8.2; 5.; 5.10 Ash separation system 2.8; 2.8.2; 6.; 6.8 Flue gas channels including Flexible Joint and damper 2.8; 2.8.2; 6.; 6.10 Ash separation system 2.8; 2.8.2; 6.; 6.11 Sludge pipeline 2.8; 2.8.2; 6.; 6.12 Tank for equipment chemical washing 2.8; 2.8.3; 5.; 5.6 New flue gas channels including Flexible Joints and dampers and electrical actuators 2.8; 2.8.3; 5.; 5.7 Sludge pipeline 2.8; 2.8.3; 5.; 5.12 Ash separation system 2.8; 2.8.3; 6.; 6.8 Flue gas channels including Flexible Joint and damper 2.8; 2.8.3; 6.; 6.11 Ash separation system 2.8; 2.8.3; 6.; 6.11 Sludge pipeline In the chapter 2.8.5 are above mentioned works performed by EPS. We assume that the above listened positions are	2) The limits of the Works are as follows: On Flue Gas side Dumper and Flexible Joint (included) in front of ESP's Diffusor, Flexible Joint including flanges above Induced Draft Fan. The Tenderers should take account of the fact that the future Contractor will have to submit detail design of redesigned ducts to Beneficiary in order to enable Beneficiary to perform necessary adaptations on rest of flue gas ducts. On the bottom side of ESP limit of responsibilities are flanges (including flanges) of the hoppers. Ash handling system and Sludge pipe lines are fully the responsibility of EPS
15	We took the tender documents for the Project No. Europe Aid/134187/C/WKS/RS. After reviewing tender documents, we noted that companies that want to participate in the bidding is required to visit the site. Therefore, please arrange an appointment to visit sites TENT A3 and TPP Morava. Please let us know of time and date visiting these locations on time.	Please note that the Contract Notice for the subject works tender procedure was published on the EuropeAid website on 30/07/2013. As stated in Article 13 of the Contract Notice "Mandatory site visits will be held on 26/08/2013 at 10h in TPP Nikola Tesla A3 for Lot 1, 27/08/2013 at 10h in TPP Morava for Lot 2, and mandatory information meeting 28/08/2013 at 10h for both lots in the premises of EU Delegation to the Republic of Serbia." No further site visits and information meetings are planned.
	It means that we cannot participate in bidding	As defined by Instruction to tenderers (Artic

No	Question	Answer
	phase? Also, whether companies from Ukraine can participate in the tender? Please provide us with further information.	6), site inspection is mandatory, and "the tenderer is obliged to visit and inspect the site of the works and its surroundings for the purpose of assessing, at its own responsibility, expense and risk, factors necessary for the preparation of its tender and the signing of the contract for the works. [Date, time and place, see point 13 of the contract notice.]".
		Please note that in case of an offer submitted by a joint venture / consortium, it is sufficient that only one member has participated in the obligatory site visit and the related attendance certificate is enclosed with the offer.
		Article 8 of the published Contract Notice defines the eligibility and rules of origin for the participation in the tender procedure: "Participation is open to all legal persons participating either individually or in a grouping (consortium) of tenderers which are established in a Member State of the European Union or in a country or territory of the regions covered and/or authorised by the specific instruments applicable to the programme under which the contract is financed (see also heading 22 below)."
		Article 22 of the same document specifies the legal basis for the tender procedure: "Instrument for Pre-Accession Assistance (Council Regulation (EC) N° 1085/2006 of 17th July 2006 - OJ L 210/82 of 31.7.2006)".
16	We need to clarify the following points: Volume 3: EMPLOYER's REQUIREMENTS	Please also refer to the answer to question 12 above.
	There are principal discrepancies in parameters (flow volume is the most important) mentioned in table 2.2 – page 14, tables 2.5 and 2.6 – page 17 (this tables indicate approximately the same value – 428-433 Nm3/s) and Section 2.5.3 – page 25 (866 Nm3/s). If we take into account technical solution from Feasibility study (part of Tender), the flue gas velocity through ESPs is more than twice higher than value recommended in Section 2.9.8 (1,0-1,5 m/s). From the above mentioned and our experiences with similar units we consider the value mentioned in Section 2.5.3 (866 Nm3/s) as incorrect. We know you've answered a similar question, but this value is still unclear and essential for the ESP design.	Related to TPP Morava, in accordance with the Basic design, the design data are: Flue gas temperature 170°C, Flue gas flow 700.000 Nm³/h wet gas, Dust concentration 64g/Nm³ dry gas, 6% O₂ Answers to the actual questions: a) No b) The Beneficiary does not possess any drawings except the ones already attached to the Tender Dossier c) Regarding VAT: Please see the answer to question no. 3 above.
	Morava, because flue gas velocity through EPS	

No	Question	Answer
	(according to Feasibility study) also considerably increases the recommended value.	
	Please clarify.	
	a) Is it possible to use the other type of collecting electrodes in rigid pipe frame – e.g. spiral type?	
	b) Please can you submit drawings (mainly civil) in readable form (from your answer we know, that Auto cad is not available). The dimensions in received documentation are not readable.	
	c) Regarding VAT: We, as a Contractor, are exempted from VAT. Would our local subcontractors be exempted from VAT also or not?	
17	You refer in 2.7 The Feasibility Study to the Volume VI of the tender. There is no Volume VI in this tender documentation. Please provide us.	The Feasibility Study will be handed over to the winning Tenderer once the contract is signed.
18	With regard to the missing answers to the questions sent 11th. September 2013 we officially ask for a prolongation of the submission date for both projects by two months with the corresponding extension of the main project schedule.	The submission deadline remains unchanged. Please note that in accordance with ITT, article 8.1, the Contracting Authority may reply to tenderers' questions up to at least 11 days before the deadline for receipt of tenders.

Answer	as flow volumes seem to be Related to TPP Nikola Tesla – please refer to the answer to question no. 12 above.	Related to TPP Morava – the	TPP Morava, the Basic design are:	existing new/max. Flue gas temperature170°C, operation Prince of the gas temperature13"	120 120	lignite	8,522	23,74	•	148				100 000 100 000 100 000	4	17,2		- 318	
Question	cessary for ESPs design. Especially gas flow v. In our opinion it should be about half of introcoilers burning lignite. Please check it properly.	on sent documentation:	TPP Nikola Tesla, unit A3 Obrenovac, Serbia	Existing new/max. exis operation		lignite/ Kolubara Mine	6,7	22	30	507		170	-3 200	100 400 100 400 100	7 4 + 4	17,2 17,2 17	3/1/27	i de la companya de l	
	We need to check and correct main parameters necessary for ESPs design. Especially gas flow volumes seem to be too high in comparison to boiler/generator output. In our opinion it should be about half of introduced values - based on our experiences from similar output of boilers burning lignite. Please check it properly.	Overview of data at ESP's inlet / 1 boiler - based on sent documentation:	Project	conditions	Nominal electric output of technology MWe Fuel:	Kind / Origin	Low heating value MJ/kg		Volatile content %	Coal consumption t/h	Gas parameters:	Gas temperature °C	Pa	Barometric pressure Pa 10	O2 content in gas (dry basis) %	H2O content in gas %	Gas flow - normal conditions	(0°C, 101325Pa) Nm3/s	Nm3/s,

Answer		910	,1 540,0			1.10^{12} 1.10^{11}										20		
		308	326,1		Al-Sil	$0.14 < 1.10^{11} - 1.10^{12}$				64								,
Juestion	Sant	1 815	1.768,8		20 S	$5,5.10^{12}-2,8.10^{14}$						I	/0		1985	30	or Nm3/s, dry.	
Ques		1 754	1 748,4			$ 1.10^{11}$ - 1.10^{13}				57						<i>.</i> '	duced in Nm3/s	•
	_	m3/s	- m3/s	u	%	h Ω.cm		g/Nm3	g/Nm3,	dry ,	g/Nm3,	dry,	6%OZ	mg/Nm3,	dry	6%02	d values intro	•
	- effective conditions	reported	- effective conditions - calculated*	Unburnt materials content in	fly ash	Electric resistivity of fly ash Ω.cm	Dust concentration - at ESP	Inlet							1	guaranteed at ESP outlet	* - values are calculated from reported values introduced in Nm3/s or Nm3/s, dry.	
No																		